
Logical and epistemological analysis of the impact of political economic relations on the civilizational security

Boris V. Salikhov, Dr. of Sci. (Econ.), Professor

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6123-9603>; *SPIN-code (RSCI): 3045-0730*

e-mail: mgsusalikhov@yandex.ru

Irina S. Salikhova, Dr. of Sci. (Econ.), Professor

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5950-7058>; *SPIN-code (RSCI): 3009-8750*

e-mail: irinasalikhova@yandex.ru

For citation

Salikhov B.V., Salikhova I.S. Logical and epistemological analysis of the impact of political economic relations on the civilizational security // Market economy problems – 2022. – No. 4. – Pp. 71-86 (In Russian)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2022-4-71-86>

Abstract

The article offers a general approach to the problem of ensuring civilizational security as a function of political economic relations. The research logic of cognition of the interrelation of political economic relations and civilizational security is based on the analytical potential of such paired categories of dialectics as content and form, cause and effect, possibility and reality, general and special. The specification of interpretations of key concepts is carried out within the framework of the statistical-semasiological method of the study. **The scientific novelty** of the obtained results lies in the interpretation of the essence of civilizational security and the characteristics of its three types, as well as in the development of general indicators for its assessing. Political economic relations as a source of inclusive, exclusive and extractive socio-cultural innovations are analyzed. The critical role of the state in ensuring the dynamic interrelation of socio-cultural traditions and innovations of the same name in strengthening the civilizational security of the country is substantiated. It is proposed to consider the unity of the level and quality of life of households as a system attractor that determines the degree of effectiveness of the relationship between political economic relations and civilizational security. The possibility of a «renaissance» of the theory of public choice and, within its framework, a «paradigm shift» towards methodological socioindividualism is characterized. **The scientific and practical significance** of the obtained results lies in the formation of a new discursive space with a subject «field» of the increasingly complex interrelation of value-semantic and socio-economic forms of human activity. The provisions and conclusions of the study can be used by the relevant public administration structures in developing a political economic model relevant to the imperatives of overcoming the civilizational crisis in our country and ensuring the security of the same name as necessary conditions for the successful integration of the domestic economy into the new world economic order.

Keywords: *civilizational identity, civilizational security, neoliberalism, socio-cultural traditions and socio-cultural innovations, political economic relations, public sector of the economy, theory of public choice, methodological socioindividualism.*

References

1. Alekseev, A.P., Vasiliev, G.G. et al. (2007), *Concise Philosophical Dictionary*, in Alekseev A.P. (ed.), 2nd ed., Prospekt, Moscow, 496 p.
2. Antipina, O.N. and Krivitskaya, A.D. (2022), “Economics and Happiness in Russia: An Empirical Analysis”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 8, pp. 48-68.
3. Aslanov, L.A. (2009), *Mentality and power. Russian civilization*, Book. 1.: Fundamentals of theory. Beginning of Rus'. Moscow kingdom, TEIS, Moscow, 557 p.
4. Auzan, A.A., Bakhtigaraeva, A.I., Bryzgalin, V.A., Zolotov, A.V., Nikishina, E.N., Pripuzova, N.A. and Stavinskaya, A.A. (2020), “Socio-cultural factors in the economy: milestones passed and the current agenda”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 7, pp. 75-92.
5. Bakhtigaraeva, A.I. and Stavinskaya, A.A. (2020), “Can trust become a factor in economic growth? Dynamics of the level of trust among Russian youth”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 7, pp. 92-108.
6. Brockhaus, F.A. and Efron, I.A. (2005), *Encyclopedic Dictionary. Modern version*, Eksmo, M., 672 p.
7. Buzgalin, A.V. (2018), “The Decline of Neoliberalism (on the 200th Anniversary of the Birth of Karl Marx)”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 2, pp. 122-142.
8. Wallerstein, Immanuel (2020), *World Systems Analysis*, URSS: LENAND, M., 400 p.
9. Vedin, N.V. (2006), *Economic heterogeneity of exchange in the economic evolution of society*, NPK «ROST», St. Petersburg, 218 p.
10. Velizhev, M.B. (2019), *Civilization, or the War of the Worlds*, European University Press at St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg, 160 p.
11. Hegel, G.W.F. (1971), *The Science of Logic*, in 3 volumes, vol. 2, «Thought», M., 248 p.
12. Hegel, G.W.F. (1990), *Philosophy of Law*, «Thought», M., 524 p.
13. Gimpelson, V. (2016), “Does the Russian Economy Need Human Capital? Ten Doubts”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 10, pp. 129-143.
14. Glazyev, S.Yu. (2022), *Beyond the horizon of the end of history: Monograph*, Prospekt, M., 416 p.
15. Hoffman, A.B. et al. (2008), *Traditions and innovations in modern Russia. Sociological analysis of interaction and dynamics: Monograph*, Russian Political Encyclopedia (ROSPEN), M., 568 p.
16. Danilevsky, N.Ya. (2008), *Russia and Europe*, TERRA-Book Club, M., 704 p.
17. Danilov-Danilyan, V. (2009), “Global crisis as a consequence of structural shifts in the economy”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 7, pp. 31-41.
18. Buchanan, James M. (1997), *Works*, trans. from English, Series: «Nobel Laureates in Economics», vol. 1, «Taurus Alpha», M., 560 p.
19. Dudin, M.N., Shkodinsky, S.V. and Usmanov, D.I. (2021), “Evolution of the scientific definition of «economic sovereignty of the state» in the classical and recent theories of crises (catastrophes)”, *Market economy problems*, no. 3, pp. 28-46, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2021-3-28-46>.
20. Ilyin, I.A. (2004), *Pro et contra. The personality and creativity of Ivan Ilyin in the memoirs, doc. and assessments of Russian thinkers and researchers. Anthology, Series «Russian way»*, Publishing house of Rus. Christians humanitarian in-ta, St. Petersburg, 896 p.
21. Kleiner, G.B. (2022), “Social leadership, splitting of power and inclusive management of the organization”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 4, pp. 26-44.
22. Levin, M. and Satarov, G. (2014), “Rent-oriented Russia”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 1, pp. 60-77.
23. Makarov, V.L. and Kleiner, G.B. (2007), *Microeconomics of knowledge*, ZAO «Publishing House «Economics», M., 204 p.
24. Marshall, A. (2008), *Fundamentals of economic science*, Eksmo, M., 832 p.
25. Polanyi, M. (2013), *Personal knowledge*, Book on demand, M., 342 p.
26. Polterovich, V.M. (2021), “The Crisis of Institutions of Political Competition, the Internet and Collaborative Democracy”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 1, pp. 52-72.

-
27. Rodrik, D. (2015), “When ideas are more important than interests: preferences, world views and innovations in economic policy”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 1, pp. 22-44.
28. Smith, A. (2007), *Research on the nature and causes of the wealth of nations*, Eksmo, M., 960 p.
29. Solovyov, V. (1999), *The philosophical beginning of integral knowledge*, Harvest, Minsk, 912 p.
30. Sorokin, P.A. (2008), *System of sociology*, Astrel, M., 1008 p.
31. Hayek, Friedrich A. (2011), *Individualism and Economic Order*, trans. from English. O.A. Dmitrieva, ed. by R.I. Kapelyushnikov (ed.), Socium, Chelyabinsk, 392 p.
32. Huntington, Samuel (2020), *Clash of civilizations*, AST Publishing House, M., 640 p.
33. Tsvetkov, V.A. and Dudin, M.N. (2020), “Scenarios for the development of the global economy and major centers of economic attraction after the end of the COVID-19 pandemic (OECD, BRICS, ASEAN, League of Arab States)”, *Market economy problems*, no. 2, pp. 6-22, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2020-2-6-22>.
34. Tsvetkov, V.A. (2020), “Main macroeconomic indicators of the Russian Federation at the end of 2019”, *Market economy problems*, no. 1, pp. 5-24, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2020-1-5-24>.
35. Tsvetkov, V.A. (2021), “Socio-economic results of Russia's development in 2020: dynamics of key indicators and development prospects”, *Market economy problems*, no. 1, pp. 6-23, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33051/2500-2325-2021-1-6-23>.
36. Shastitko, A.E. (2020), “Between the Scylla of Despotism and the Charybdis of Social Norms (About the book by D. Acemoglu and J. Robinson «The Narrow Corridor: States, Societies and the Fate of Freedom»)”, *Questions of Economics*, no. 1, pp. 145-156.
37. Schwab, Klaus (2022), *Capitalism of the common good. A new model of the world economy*, Eksmo, M., 352 p.
38. Schumpeter, J.A. (2007), *Theory of economic development. Capitalism, socialism and democracy*, Eksmo, M., 864 p.

About authors

Boris V. Salikhov, Doctor of Sci. (Econ.), Professor, Principal Researcher, Market Economy Institute of RAS, Moscow.

Irina S. Salikhova, Doctor of Sci. (Econ.), Professor, Principal Researcher, Market Economy Institute of RAS, Moscow; Professor, Department of Financial Accounting, Moscow University named after S.Y. Witte, Moscow.